SMC&PA submission 285 Received: 27 May 2013

Education and Innovation Committee

From: Ray Hendle

Sent: Sunday, 26 May 2013 4:11 PM
To: Education and Innovation Committee
Subject: QSA ... approach to assessment

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Categories: Green Category

Dear Committee Members,

I have taught mathematics and chemistry for 37 years. I have been a member of the Mathematics Review Panels (Maths A and C) here in for many of those years. I held the position of HOD Mathematics at and was Acting in that position for several years at.

My submission is set out under the following headings.

Ensuring assessment processes are supported by teachers.

- The 2012 QIEU survey shows that this is not so. Roughly 50% of maths/science teachers have great reservations about QSA's assessment process
- Assessment is inconsistent from school to school
- OSA's guidelines change regularly and advice can be contradictory
- QSA bullies teachers who dare to voice different opinions

Student participation levels.

- · Workloads have increased dramatically
- Students are not coping.
- Students choose subjects other than science, because they are easier

The ability of assessment to support valid and reliable judgments of student outcomes.

- Standards vary from school to school, district to district and year to year
- · Grading with letters means that students with different marks get the same grade
- · Large sections of the course are assessed by assignments and these can be copied
- Time taken in assignments takes away from teaching time
- The assessment is inequitable. Students who can afford tutors, those with better writing skills and with family working in maths and science do better.
- New teachers at schools which are under resourced cannot cope
- Some assessment tasks do not allow good students to get the grade they deserve
- Some schools set 'Revision Questions' which are, in fact, the actual exam questions
- Long essays have no place in Maths. Many students who excel at maths are not great at writing.
 This discriminates against them
- The use of long writing tasks discriminates against students from non English speaking backgrounds and boys
- The *Evaluating and Concluding* category shows that the criteria were designed with too much emphasis on the writing skills of the students. Get rid of the EC category.
- The criteria paragraphs which the OSA call standards, are highly subjective

I support state-wide exams set by teams of experienced teachers. The assessment could be [50%] external exam, 50% internal of which an Experimental Investigation is no more than one fifth (10%] of

the final total.)]. Those who make decisions on curriculum in our schools should spend at least 6 months in the classroom every 4 years. Educational theorists without substantial classroom experience should not be employed in decision making positions.

I believe it is long overdue that teachers return to an **emphasis on teaching**, rather than on examining, their students.

Kind regards

Ray Hendle

